IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4491 OF 2005

Deepali Dinesh Jadhav ...Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

Shri. Sudatta Patil for the Applicant.
Mr. U.V.Nikam, A.P.P.for the Respondent.

CORAM : A. S. OKA, J.
DATED : JULY 21, 2005.

P.C.:

1. Applicant is the co-accused in an offence registered under sections 452, 302, 397 of the Indian Penal Code. The Application made by the Applicant before the Sessions Court for grant of bail has been rejected.

2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submitted that there is no material on record against the Applicant. He pointed out that it is not the case of the prosecution that the Applicant was working as maid-servant in the house of the deceased. He pointed out that there is not even evidence of last seen together against the Applicant. He submitted that the Applicant is a woman who has already spent five months in the custody and she deserves to be enlarged on bail. The learned A.P.P. has pointed out material on record.

3. The deceased was house-wife who was found dead with eight stab injuries on her person. The Applicant was working in the premises of one Mrs. Gandhi who is

the resident of the same building in which offence has taken place. The evidence is in the form of blood-stained clothes of the Applicant The blood-group of the blood on the blood-stained 'salwar' was found to be of Group 'B' which was the blood-group of deceased. Apart from this evidence, the other material on record indicates that the Applicant was with the co-accused and in fact there is evidence to show that they stayed together in a hotel in Mumbai on the same day. There is enough material on record to show the complicity of the Applicant in brutal offence of a daylight murder of a house-wife. In my view, no case is made out for grant of bail. Application is rejected. The learned Sessions Judge will conclude the trial as expeditiously as possible considering the fact that the Applicant is woman and is in custody for last about five months.

4. Parties to act upon an authenticated copy of this order.

JUDGE